

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/915,681	07/26/2001	Marien De Schipper	NL 000441	7598
24737	7590 06/10/2004		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			RUDE, TIMOTHY L	
P.O. BOX 30 BRIARCLIFI	UI F MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	2871		2871	

DATE MAILED: 06/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			i ∧ X			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Aption	09/915,681	DE SCHIPPER, MA	RIEN			
Advisory Action	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Timothy L Rude	2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 27 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three may be a shortened patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ision and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	I be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Set		sidered but does NO	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner	$\overline{}$			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. Other: ____

JAMES DUDEK

Continuation of 2. NOTE: It is respectfully pointed out that the amendment is not considered to substantially further limit base claim 1.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Arguments are not persuasive. It is respectfully pointed out that Applicant largely reiterates arguments responded to in the Final Rejection. Examiner consideres the combination of references to render the claimed invention obvious to those having ordinary skill in the art of liquid crystals at the time the claimed invention was made. Naturally, those of ordinary skill in the art of liquid crystals know how to convert (redesign) a transmissive display into a reflective display to achieve the advantages taught by the secondary reference. Nothing in the primary reference would lead one to believe that such a modification would be unlikely to succeed. Lastly, all surfaces are made to reflect, at least in part, because no invisible substances are yet known, and visibility is a result of reflected light.

THE CANAL PROPERTY.